DEPARTMENT OF SOCIAL SERVICES

#4 P Street, Sacramento, CA 95314 (916) 322-9377

January 16, 1987

ALL-COUNTY LETTER NO. 87-11

TO: ALL COUNTY WELFARE DIRECTORS

SUBJECT: RECORDS RETENTION

This All-County Letter is a reminder of the legal requirements for records retention and the identification of the exceptions which require extended retention periods for certain records.

A. Public Assistance Records

The Manual of Policies and Procedures (MPP) sets forth various retention periods for public assistance records. Generally, the regulations require that all public assistance (23-353), social service (10-119.2), and administrative claiming (25-815.38) records and their supporting documents be retained for three years from the date the state submits the last expenditure report to the Department of Health and Human Services (HHS). Case record material must be retained for three years after the date the last state expenditure report has been made to HHS for the period the records were last used to document eligibility. MPP Sections 23-353 through 23-356 set forth the requirements for certain records which have retention periods which vary from the general rule. While the regulations must be reviewed for a complete listing, the most common varying items are listed below. Some records require retention periods of more than three years. These include:

- 1. Records and their supporting documents must be retained when there is an open federal or state audit. This includes those unresolved federal audits listed on Attachment I and the State Controller's Office audits on Attachment II. Counties are to inform contractors providing social services to retain all necessary records for audits which have not been resolved/closed.
- 2. Records involved in pending criminal or civil litigation or court orders may require extended retention periods. In addition to cases in which the county is involved, Attachment III is a listing of court cases involving the State Department of Social Services (DSS) which necessitate extended retention of certain records.



- 3. County welfare warrants must be retained for five years. Warrant registers must be retained for 15 years.
- 4. The county shall retain Form ABCD 278L or its equivalent for a period of ten years following closure in all cases where notification to do so by the child support agency has been received.

Other records may be considered as nonessential and need not be retained in the case records. Listed below are examples of those documents which may be purged from the case records:

- 1. Documents or evidence (photocopies) provided by the recipient, such as birth certificates and divorce papers, may be purged (Manual of Policies and Procedures Section 49-001.112) provided that there is a written record of the type of evidence and its pertinent content. This notation would normally be made in the case narrative. Original documents received should have been returned to the applicant/recipient.
- 2. Documents which were never used to document eligibility may be destroyed provided they have no potential of being used to take action on a case. For example, a note from an applicant canceling a meeting may be needed as evidence, should you determine a denial is appropriate based on noncooperation. However, once the meeting has taken place the note would be of no value and may be destroyed.
- Records which were used to document eligibility may be destroyed provided three years have passed since the last state expenditure report for that period has been submitted to the HHS. These records must be retained longer when there are unresolved audits or court cases.

B. Food Stamp Records

There are two separate retention requirements for Food Stamp (FS) Program records. First, all issuance and program records are to be retained for a period of three years from the month of origin. Second, all fiscal and accountable documents are to be retained for three years from the date of fiscal or administrative closure. This means that records such as, but not limited to Authorizations to Participate (ATP), cashier's daily reports, Notices of Change, Form FNS-250s, "Food Stamp Accountability Report" HIR cards, and tally sheets shall be retained for three years. However, any documents or records which are involved in any billing or claim shall be retained for three years from the date of fiscal or administrative closure. For example, FNS-250 Reports which do not result in a billing against the state agency shall be retained three years from the month of origin. But, FNS-250 Reports which result in a billing must be retained for three years from the date that obligations for or against the federal government have been

liquidated. Also, any records or documents which are involved in a fiscal audit or investigation must be retained for three years from the date the audit or investigation is closed. To illustrate this point further, if an FNS-250 Report which originated prior to April 1981 had fiscal liabilities which were not settled until July 1983, that FNS-250 Report cannot be destroyed until August 1986. But, on the other hand, if the same FNS-250 Report had no fiscal involvement, it could be destroyed as of May 1984.

Some records require retention periods of more than three years. These include:

- 1. If the FS records are a part of an assistance case record, they must be retained in accordance with MPP Chapter 23-350. (See Part A of this letter.)
- 2. Records and their supporting documents for which there is an open federal or state audit must be retained. This includes those unresolved audits listed on Attachments I and II.
- 3. Records involved in pending criminal or civil litigation or court orders may require extended retention periods. In addition to cases in which the county is involved, Attachment III is a listing of court cases involving DSS which necessitate extended retention of certain records.

The provisions of this letter are for the fiscal purposes of DSS and do not authorize the destruction of those records which have a longer retention period required by other laws/regulations, court cases, or unresolved audits.

Again we would appreciate any comments or questions regarding records retention by submitting them to Barbara Sakamoto, Records Management, 744 P Street, M.S. 7-179, Sacramento, CA 95814, or by calling (916) 445-6239.

ROBERT T. SERTICH
Deputy Director

Administration Division

Attachments

cc: CWDA

This letter supersedes All-County Letter 86-76.

Programs Administered by Department of Health and Human Services

Status as of: 12-19-86Page 1 of 4

| ID Number | Description | Audit/Review Period (1) | Audit Agency | State/County Agencies Affected | Exception Amount (2) | Records Required to Be Retained |
|---|---|-----------------------------|-----------------|---|-------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| 20272 | WIN | 10/1/78 - 3/31/81 | ннѕ | Alameda Contra Costa Los Angeles Sacramento San Bernardino San Francisco | \$ 581,382 | A |
| 30606-09 (follow- up of 00255) | Uncashed Warrants | 1/1/77 - 12/31/79 | HHS | San Francisco Santa Clara | M/A | В |
| 60265 | Cuban Refugee Assistance Costs | 7/79 – 6/74 | HEW (HHS) | Los Angeles | \$ 1,850,588 | В |
| 70282 | BHI - Eligibility | 7/73 – 3/76 | HEW (HHS) | San Bernardino | \$ 68,274 | В |
| 80259 | BHI - Eligibility | 4/1/75 - 7/31/76 | HEW (HHS) | Santa Barbara | \$ 77,911 | В |
| 70281 (60281) | BHI - Eligibility | 4/1/75 - 7/31/76 | HEW (HHS) | Ventura | \$ 77,051 | В |
| 50260-09 | Refugee Resettle- ment Program | 4/1/81 9/30/82 | ннѕ | San Francisco Los Angeles San Diego Sacramento Orange Alameda Santa Clara | \$ 33,753,588 | A/B |

⁽¹⁾ If a single date is listed, it will be the date of the audit report.

⁽²⁾ Federal share only unless otherwise noted.

A Case records, payment records, and audit-related materials.

B Case records, assistance claims, and audit-related materials.

Programs Administered by Department of Health and Human Services

Status as of: 12-19-86Page 2 of 4

| ID Number | Description | Audit/Review Period (1) | Audit Agency | State/County Agencies Affected | Exception Amount (2) | Records Required to Be Retained |
|--------------|---|-----------------------------|-----------------|---|-----------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| 10262 | Public Assistance Adm. Costs (Resolution of SCO Audit) | 7/1/75 - 12/3/78 | ннѕ | Los Angeles San Diego Santa Clara Fresno San Bernardino | \$ 2,278,142 | A/B |
| 50267 | Food Stamp Program Costs | 4/1/69 - 6/30/74 | HHS | San Bernardino | \$ 414,455(3) | C |
| 50268 | included in cost allo-cation plan | 3/1/69 - 6/30/74 | HHS | San Diego | \$ 566,178(3) | С |
| 50271 | disallowed by HHS | 7/1/68 - 6/30/74 | HHS | San Joaquin | \$ 237,322(3) | С |
| 20144 | tt | 7/1/67 - 12/31/70 | HHS | 38 Counties(4) | \$ 3,279,707(3) | С |
| 50250 | 11 | 1/1/71 - 3/31/74 | ннѕ | San Mateo | \$ 52,400(3) | С |
| 50266 | 11 | 1/1/67 - 6/30/74 | ннѕ | Los Angeles | \$ 1,773,081(3) | С |
| 50262 | 11 | 7/1/67 - 6/30/74 | ннѕ | Kern | \$ 179,484(3) | С |
| 50263 | 11 | 7/1/67 – 6/30/74 | ннѕ | Tulare | \$ 137,556(3) | C |

⁽¹⁾ If a single date is listed, it will be the date of the audit report.

⁽²⁾ Federal share only unless otherwise noted.

⁽³⁾ Original exception amounts shown. In most cases, final amounts should be substantially smaller.

⁽⁴⁾ See Attachment IA.

A Case records, payment records, and audit-related materials.

B Case records, assistance claims, and audit-related materials.

C Administrative claims and audit-related materials.

Food Stamp Program USDA/FNS

Status as of: $\frac{12-19-86}{2}$ Page 3 of 4

| ID | | Audit/Review | Audit | State/County Agencies | Exception | Records Required to |
|----------------------------------|---|---|----------|--------------------------|--------------------------------|------------------------|
| Number | Description | Period (1) | Agency | Affected | Amount (2) | Be Retained |
| 50264 | 11 | 1/1/71 - 9/30/71 | ннѕ | 31 Counties(5) | \$ 1,423,838(3) | С |
| 60274 (50274) | 11 | 1/1/67 - 6/30/74 | ннs. | San Francisco | \$ 216,900(3) | С |
| 10273 | Food Stamp Certifica- tion Costs | 10/1/71 - 6/30/72 | HHS | 34 Counties(6) | \$ 4 , 290 , 534 | С |
| 2716- 26-SF | Food Stamp Audit Certificati Errors | 11/77 - 1/78 on | USDA/OA | Alameda | \$ 123,154.68 | σ |
| 2714 – 260 – SF | Food Stamp Audit Cash and Coupons | 11/72 – 3/74 | USDA/OA | Los Angeles | \$ 93,451.00 | D |
| 2744 - 104 | Food Stamp Investigation | 10/19/77 on | USDA/OIG | Madera | \$ 37,607.00 | Q |
| 2744 - 77 - SF | Food Stamp Investiga- tion SAEOC | 5/10/75 - 5/12/75 (2 days) | USDA/OIG | Sacramento | \$ 16,673.00 | D |
| 27642 1-нү | Food Stamp Audit Issuance and Redempti | 2/81 - 1/82 ion | USDA/OA | San Bernardino | \$ 412.00 | Е |

⁽¹⁾ If a single date is listed, it will be the date of the audit report.

⁽²⁾ Federal share only unless otherwise noted.

⁽³⁾ Original exception amounts shown. In most cases, final amounts should be substantially smaller.

⁽⁵⁾ See Attachment IB.

⁽⁶⁾ See Attachment IC.

C Administrative claims and audit-related materials.

D Retain Food Stamp Reports, FNS-250.

E Retain Food Stamp fiscal records.

Food Stamp Program USDA/FNS

Status as of:

12-19-86

Page 4 of 4

| ID Number | Audi Description Peri | t/Review Lod (1) | Audit Agency | Age | e/County encies fected | | eption unt (2) | Records Required to Be Retained |
|---------------------------------|---|---------------------|-----------------|-------|------------------------------|--------------|-------------------|---------------------------------------|
| 2714- 53-SF | Food Stamp 7/70 Audit 4/71 Issuance Losses | | USDA/OIG | San I | Francisco | (| 3,761.00 | D |
| 2714- 358 - SF | Food Stamp 4/75 Audit 6/75 Eligibility | | USDA/OA | San l | Francisco | \$ | 806,800.00 | E |
| 2716- 11-SF | Food Stamp 9/76 Audit 5/77 Overpayments | | USDA/OA | San | Francisco | \$ | 587,000.00 | F |
| 2744- 51-SF | Food Stamp 1/23 Investigation | 3/76 | USDA/OA | San l | Francisco | \$ | 5,344.00 | Ε |
| 2747- 9-SF | Food Stamp 8/30 Investigation |)/76 | USDA/OIG | San I | Francisco | \$ | 1,264.50 | D |
| 2749 – 19 <i>–</i> SF | Food Stamp 12/2 Investigation | 24/74 | USDA/OIG | San I | Francisco | \$ | 1,923.00 | D |
| 2799- 13-SF | Food Stamp 7/71 Audit of 8/78 Issuance Operations of CAHEED | | USDA/OIG | San I | Francisco | \$ | 7,626.00 | D |
| 2714- 59-SF | Food Stamp 8/17 Unreconciled Records | /81 | USDA/OIG | Santa | a Clara | \$ | 52,768.00 | D |
| 2744- 98-SF | Food Stamp 7/22 Investigation | /76 | USDA/OA | Santa | a Clara | \$ | 999.95 | E |
| 2744- 103-SF | Food Stamp 6/23 Investigation | /77 | USDA/OIG | Santa | ı Clara | \$ | 1,394.00 | D |
| 2744- 62-SF | Food Stamp 1/9/ Investigation | 75 | USDA/OA | Tular | ·e | \$ | 10,332.70 | Ε |

⁽¹⁾ If a single date is listed, it will be the date of the audit report.

⁽²⁾ Federal share only unless otherwise noted.

D Retain Food Stamp Reports, FNS-250.

E Retain Food Stamp fiscal records.

F All records identified by the audit.

AUDIT REPORT #20144 (7/1/67 - 12/31/70) Original exception amounts shown. In most cases, final amounts will be substantially smaller.

| County | Federal Funds Questioned |
|-----------------|-----------------------------|
| Alameda | \$ 272,721 |
| Amador | 776 |
| Butte | 33,294 |
| Calaveras | 1,059 |
| Contra Costa | 157,837 |
| El Dorado | 16,189 |
| Fresno | 139,105 |
| Glenn | 1,368 |
| Humboldt | 925 |
| Kern | 164,468 |
| Kings | 22,131 |
| Lake | 7,946 |
| Los Angeles | 995,435 |
| Madera | 28,212 |
| Marin | 17,941 |
| Merced | 23,693 |
| Monterey | 42,273 |
| Napa | 8,192 |
| Orange | 89,040 |
| Plumas | 2,784 |
| Riverside | 13,929 |
| Sacramento | 180,955 |
| San Benito | 3,182 |
| San Bernardino | 138,978 |
| San Francisco | 105,824 |
| San Joaquin | 106,506 |
| San Luis Obispo | 13,630 |
| San Mateo | 38,867 |
| Santa Barbara | 38,450 |
| Santa Clara | 291,439 |
| Santa Cruz | 49,413 |
| Sonoma | 43,889 |
| Stanislaus | 86,769 |
| Tehama | 5,529 |
| Tulare | 48,941 |
| Tuolumne | 8,644 |
| Ventura | 66,209 |
| Yolo | 13,159 |
| TOTAL | \$3,279,707 |

AUDIT REPORT #50264 (1/1/71 - 9/30/71)Original exception amounts shown. In most cases, final amounts will be substantially smaller.

| County | Federal Funds Ouestioned |
|-------------------|--------------------------|
| Alameda Amador | \$ 244,351 951 |
| Butte | 14,950 |
| Calaveras | 1,814 |
| Contra Costa | 75,271 |
| El Dorado | 3,406 |
| Fresno | 138,238 |
| Glenn | 1,622 |
| Humboldt | 776 |
| Kings | 31,437 |
| Lake | 8,216 |
| Madera | 17,264 |
| Marin | 29,855 |
| Merced | 45,688 |
| Monterey | 37,248 |
| Napa | 6,538 |
| Orange | 123,182 |
| Placer | 777 |
| Plumas | 2,515 |
| Sacramento | 174,891 |
| San Benito | 4,975 |
| San Luis Obispo | 14,202 |
| Santa Barbara | 35,001 |
| Santa Clara | 245,885 |
| Santa Cruz | 26,774 |
| Siskiyou | 824 |
| Sonoma | 71 |
| Stanislaus | 58 , 320 |
| Tehama | 2,269 |
| Tuolumne | 4,410 |
| Ventura | 77,117 |
| TOTAL | \$1,428,838 |

AUDIT REPORT #10273 (10/1/71 - 6/30/72)

| County | Federal Funds Questioned |
|----------------------|--------------------------|
| Alameda Calaveras | \$ 224,577 265 |
| Contra Costa | 105,124 |
| Del Norte | 3,853 |
| Fresno | 81,951 |
| Humboldt | 23,999 |
| Imperial | 14,328 |
| Lassen | 2,163 |
| Los Angeles | 2,762,983 |
| Madera | 8,308 |
| Marin | 29,446 |
| Modoc | 394 |
| Mono | 139 |
| Monterey | 36,784 |
| Nevada | 6,574 |
| Orange | 100,158 |
| Placer | 25,544 |
| Riverside | 91,787 |
| Sacramento | 186,752 |
| San Benito | 4,660 |
| San Francisco | 175,369 |
| San Luis Obispo | 30,511 |
| San Mateo | 37 , 359 |
| Santa Barbara | 61,277 |
| Santa Clara | 160,168 |
| Shasta | 14,988 |
| Siskiyou | 6,410 |
| Solano | 22,449 |
| Sonoma | 24,361 |
| Stanislaus | 21,806 |
| Tehama | 2,228 |
| Trinity | 1,258 |
| Yolo | 15,123 |
| Yuba | 7,438 |
| TOTAL | \$4,290,534 |

Unresolved Audits

The counties on the following lists have unresolved SCO audits. All records pertaining to these audit periods should be retained by the counties until final resolution has been made pertinent to all protested/appealed audit exceptions. The audits are listed in alphabetic order by county. For those counties having more than one unresolved audit, there will be an entry for each audit.

Audits Completed*

| County | Audit <u>Period</u> | Date of Audit Report | Amount of Report |
|---------------|------------------------|-------------------------|------------------|
| Los Angeles** | 7/32 - 6/84 | 11/21/86 | \$48,532,432 |
| Sonoma** | 7/82 - 6/85 | 11/7/96 | 1,356,100 |
| | Protest | tea Audits | |
| County | Audit Perioa | Date of Audit Report | Amount of Report |
| Alameda | 7/78 - 6/81 | 8/26/83 | \$ 6,344,452 |
| Alameda | 7/81 - 6/34 | 1/31/85 | 2,937,847 |
| Amador | 7/80 - 6/84 | 12/20/85 | 41,931 |
| Calaveras** | 7/80 - 6/85 | 10/17/86 | 23,029 |
| Contra Costa | 7/79 - 6/80 | 1/22/82 | 665,093 |
| Contra Costa | 7/80 - 6/83 | 10/9/84 | 2,324,721 |
| Fresno | 7/80 - 6/82 | 9/30/83 | 382,321 |
| Tmperial | 7/80 - 6/84 | 10/4/85 | 235,045 |
| Los Angeles | 7/80 - 6/82 | 12/7/84 | 19,773,982 |
| Los Angeles | 7/77 - 6/80 | 6/25/82 | 21,017,042 |

Administrative

^{*}The final audit report has been issued; but at the time this list was prepared, the protest period was still in process.

**New since ACL 86-75.

Protested Audits

| County | Audit Period | Date of Audit Report | Amount of Report |
|--------------------------|-----------------|-------------------------|------------------|
| Los Angeles Adoptions | 7/76 - 6/79 | 4/10/81 | \$ 154,619 |
| Los Angeles Adoptions | 7/79 - 6/82 | 8/26/83 | 719,61? |
| Mariposa | 7/80 - 5/34 | 12/13/85 | 103,912 |
| Mendocino | 4/77 - 6/79 | 11/20/81 | 486,272 |
| Mendocino | 7/79 - 6/82 | 8/17/84 | 191,014 |
| Monterey | 7/80 - 6/83 | 3/22/85 | 2,126,760 |
| Nevada** | 7/30 - 6/34 | 5/1/86 | 9,026 |
| Orange | 7/79 - 5/81 | 2/18/83 | 1,555,045 |
| Orange | 7/81 - 6/83 | 9/19/85 | 607,274 |
| Placer | 7/79 - 5/82 | 3/2/84 | 123,213 |
| Riverside | 7/80 - 5/82 | 7/11/84 | 230,604 |
| Sacramento | 7/81 - 6/83 | 3/8/85 | 1,055,916 |
| San Benito** | 7/80 - 5/84 | 4/9/86 | 18,333 |
| San Diego | 7/78 - 6/80 | 9/18/81 | 1,032,224 |
| San Diego | 7/80 - 5/82 | 9/5/84 | 1,176,817 |
| San Francisco | 1/77 - 6/79 | 1/30/81 | 9,745,728 |
| San Francisco | 7/79 - 6/81 | 2/25/83 | 5,656,263 |
| San Francisco** | 7/81 - 6/84 | 8/22/86 | 19,134,593 |
| San Mateo | 7/80 - 5/83 | 2/1/85 | 673,867 |

^{**}New since ACL 86-76.

Protested Audits

| County | Audit <u>Period</u> | Date of Audit Report | Amount of Report |
|-----------------|------------------------|-------------------------|---------------------|
| Santa Clara | 4/79 - 6/81 | 7/23/82 | \$ 2,534,213 |
| Santa Clara | 7/81 - 6/83 | 12/20/85 | 947,129 |
| Santa Cruz | 7/80 - 6/83 | 11/7/84 | 68,358 |
| Yolo | 7/79 - 6/84 | 5/17/85 | 293,557 |
| Yuba | 7/80 - 6/84 | 6/21/85 | 21,845 |
| | Appeal | ed Audits | |
| Alameda | 1/77 - 6/78 | 12/7/79 | \$ 3,015,877 |
| Contra Costa | 7/77 - 6/79 | 11/7/80 | 1,929,101 |
| Humboldt | 4/75 - 9/78 | 12/28/79 | 102,593 |
| Los Angeles | 7/76 - 6/77 | 2/8/80 | 4,453,426 |
| Los Angeles BHI | 7/69 - 6/76 | 7/30/82 | 135,873 |
| Los Angeles BHI | 7/69 - 6/75 | 7/30/82 | 293,349 |
| Merced | 4/78 - 6/81 | 6/18/82 | 138,463 |
| Modoe** | 7/80 - 6/84 | 4/4/86 | 66,474 |
| Sacramento** | 7/79 - 6/81 | 6/10/83 | 437,037 |
| San Benito | 7/78 - 6/80 | 12/18/81 | 7,727 |
| San Mateo | 7/76 - 6/78 | 6/15/79 | 293,237 |
| Shasta | 10/77 - 6/80 | 11/20/81 | 313,363 |
| Solano | 10/78 - 6/82 | 9/19/84 | 1,750,653 |
| Tuolumne | 1/74 - 12/75 | 8/13/76 | 2,555 |
| Tuolumne | 1/76 - 6/78 | 5/9/80 | 57,507 |
| Ventura** | 7/79 - 5/81 | 10/22/82 | 2,112,795 |
| | | | |

^{**}New since ACL 86-76.

In Application

These audits are finalized: actions are now being taken to adjust claims so that there will be a proper state, county, and federal share of costs claimed and to collect or pay any amounts due as a result of the audit.

| County | Audit Period |
|--------------|-----------------|
| Alpine | 7/80 - 6/84 |
| Colusa | 7/78 - 6/83 |
| Contra Costa | 7/76 - 6/77 |
| El Dorado | 7/79 - 6/82 |
| Glenn | 7/75 - 3/79 |
| Glenn | 7/78 - 6/81 |
| Humboldt | 7/78 - 6/81 |
| Kern | 7/79 - 6/82 |
| Kings | 7/79 - 6/82 |
| Lake** | 7/81 - 6/85 |
| Lassen | 1/77 - 6/82 |
| Madera | 1/78 - 6/80 |
| Madera** | 7/80 - 6/85 |
| Marin** | 10/78 - 6/82 |
| Mendocino** | 4/77 - 6/79 |
| Monterey | 4/78 - 6/80 |
| Napa | 1/78 - 6/82 |
| Napa** | 7/82 - 6/85 |
| Nevada | 10/76 - 6/80 |

^{**}New since ACL 86-76.

In Application

| County | Audit Period |
|-------------------|-----------------|
| Plumas | 1/77 - 6/81 |
| Riverside** | 7/77 - 6/79 |
| Riverside** | 7/79 - 6/80 |
| San Bernardino** | 7/79 - 6/80 |
| San Bernardino** | 7/80 - 6/93 |
| San Diego** | 7/75 - 6/77 |
| San Joaquin | 10/78 - 6/81 |
| San Joaquin | 7/81 - 6/83 |
| San Luis Obispo** | 7/80 - 6/84 |
| Santa Barbara | 7/80 - 6/83 |
| Stanislaus** | 4/78 - 6/80 |
| Stanislaus** | 7/30 - 6/83 |
| Sonoma | 7/79 - 6/82 |
| Sutter | 10/75 - 9/78 |
| Sutter** | 7/73 - 6/82 |
| Tehama | 7/77 - 6/81 |
| Trinity | 4/76 - 6/80 |
| Trinity** | 7/80 - 6/85 |
| Tulare** | 10/78 - 6/81 |
| Tuolumne | 7/78 - 6/82 |
| Yolo** | 11/77 - 6/79 |

^{**}New since ACL 86-76.

Applied

| Count <u>y</u> | Audit <u>Period</u> | Record Destruction Date*** | | |
|----------------|------------------------|----------------------------------|--|--|
| Butte | 4/79 - 6/82 | 5/6/89 | | |
| Del Norte | 7/79 - 6/81 | 4/29/89 | | |
| El Dorado** | 10/75 - 6/79 | 12/3/89 | | |
| Fresno | 7/78 - 6/80 | 5/5/89 | | |
| Lake** | 7/75 - 6/81 | 12/3/89 | | |
| Placer | 7/75 - 6/79 | 4/8/89 | | |
| San Mateo | 7/78 - 6/80 | 5/29/89 | | |
| Santa Cruz | 10/77 - 6/80 | 5/19/89 | | |
| Sierra** | 10/75 - 6/80 | 12/5/89 | | |
| Siskiyou | 7/79 - 6/82 | 5/19/89 | | |
| Sonoma | 1/78 - 6/79 | 5/20/89 | | |
| Stanislaus | 4/78 - 6/80 | 5/21/89 | | |
| Stanislaus | 7/80 - 6/83 | 5/7/89 | | |
| Tulare | 10/76 - 9/78 | 4/23/89 | | |
| Yuba | 1/77 - 6/80 | 5/27/89 | | |

^{**}New since ACL 86-76.

^{***}These records may be flagged for destruction on the date shown.

COURT CASES

There are several court cases which require extended retention including the following:

| CASE | ACL | ACIN | RECORDS COVERED | PERIOD COVERED |
|--|-------------------------|---------------------|--------------------------------|--|
| North Coast Coalition v. Woods (1) | 80-64 | I-35-81 I-49-30 | AFDC | 8-1-76 - 9-30-90 |
| Welfare Recipients League v. Woods | 34-15 82-15 81-58 | | AFDC | 2-19-82 - to date |
| Wright v. Woods(1) | 83-43 78-18 | | AFDC | 7-18-76 - 1?-31-80 |
| Green v. Obledo(?) | 84-13 33-47 | I-38-82 I-88-81 | AFDC | 1-1-74 - 11-9-91 |
| Farias v. Woods(2) | 83–66 33–50 82–39 | I-132-83 I-26-83 | AFDC-FC | 2-1-78 - the date on which they were transferred to AFDC-FC or became ineligible. The date of transfermay be later than 1-7-80 because Miller v. Youakim regulations were implemented on a flow basis. |
| Angus v. Woods(1) | 83 - 62 80-73 | | AFDC | 9-1-77 - 12-31-80 |
| Wood v. Woods(1) | 83–130 83–91 | I-134-80 I-26-80 | AFDC | 1-1-80 - 9-30-81 |
| Vaessen v. Moods | 80-67 | I-150-82 | AFDC, RCA & ECA PROGRAMS | 1-1-79 - to date |
| <u>Williams</u> v. <u>Woods</u> (2) | 84-56 84-45 84-40 | I-40-84 | AFDC | 11-12-78 - 5-31-84 |
| Miller v. Woods and Community Services for the Disabled v. Woods | 84-58 | 1-37-84 | IHSS | 4-1-79 - 4-30-84 |

COURT CASES

| CASE | ACL | ACIN | RECORDS COVERED | PERIOD COVERED |
|--|-----------------|----------|--------------------------------|-------------------|
| Coalition of California Welfare Rights Organizations v. McMahon(2) | 84-90 | I-53-84 | AFDC, RCA & ECA PROGRAMS | 5-23-84 - to date |
| Lowry v. Obledo(2) | 85-53 | I-146-81 | AFDC | 2-1-77 - 8-31-82 |
| Shaw v. McMahon | 85-25 84-109 | | AFDC | 10-1-84 - 4-30-85 |

- (1) All case records associated with the <u>North Coast Coalition</u> v. <u>Woods</u>, <u>Woods</u>, <u>Woods</u>, or <u>Angus</u> v. <u>Woods</u> court case may now be destroyed except for those which:
 - (a) were used in the determination of eligibility (including denials) for or the amount of retroactive benefits made pursuant to MPP Section 50-014. The prior case records used must be retained in accordance with the legal requirements for public assistance records specified in this letter; or
 - (b) require extended retention pursuant to other provisions of this letter.
- (2) All case records associated with the <u>Green v. Obledo</u>, <u>Williams v. Woods</u>, <u>Lowry v. Obledo</u>, <u>Farias v. Woods</u>, and <u>Coalition of California Welfare Rights Organizations v. McMahon court cases may be destroyed except for those which:</u>
 - (a) were used in the determination of eligibility (including denials) for or the amount of retroactive benefits. The prior case records used must be retained in accordance with the legal requirements for public assistance records specified in this letter; or
 - (b) require extended retention pursuant to other provisions of this letter.
- (3) Records related to the <u>Shaw v. McMahon lawsuit</u> need no longer be retained except in accordance with other requirements set forth in the ACL.